

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
NEW ALBANY DIVISION

IN RE:)	Chapter 7
)	
EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC,)	Case No. 10-93904-BHL-11
)	
Debtor.)	Hon. Basil H. Lorch III

**MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE AND SETTLEMENT
WITH JACKIE YOUNG PURSUANT TO RULE 9019**

Pursuant to Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, James A. Knauer, as chapter 11 trustee (the "Trustee") for Eastern Livestock Co., LLC (the "Debtor"), by counsel, hereby files this motion (the "Settlement Motion") requesting the Court's approval of a compromise and settlement of claims between the Trustee and Jackie Young ("Young") pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Settlement Agreement"). In support of this Settlement Motion, the Trustee respectfully represents the following:

Introduction and Background

1. Certain petitioning creditors commenced the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "Chapter 11 Case") against the Debtor on December 6, 2010, by filing an involuntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code. This Court entered the Order for Relief in An Involuntary Case and Order to Complete Filing [Doc. No. 110] on December 28, 2010.
2. On December 27, 2010, the Court entered the Order Approving the Appointment of James A. Knauer as Chapter 11 Trustee [Doc. No. 102] pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104.

3. On January 25, 2012, the Trustee filed the Trustee's Motion to Approve Certain Preference Avoidance Protocols and Terms of Settlement [Doc. No. 977] (the "Preference Protocol Motion"). The Court entered its Order Granting Trustee's Motion to Approve Certain Preference Avoidance Protocols and Terms of Settlement on February 15, 2012 [Doc. No. 1035] (the "Preference Protocol Order"), pursuant to which the Court authorized the Trustee to settle the Trade Preferences¹ in the sums of the Estimated Net Exposure without further order of the Court.

4. Based on his investigation, the Trustee has concluded that Young received not less than \$25,743.32 in transfers from the Debtor (collectively, the "Transfers"). On November 9, 2012, the Trustee made demand on Young for avoidance and recovery of the Transfers (the "Preference Claim") and offered to settle the Preference Claim in the sum of \$3,344.10, representing Young's Estimated Net Exposure as calculated by the Trustee. Subsequent to November 9, 2012, Young has provided information to the Trustee that arguably reduces Young's Estimated Net Exposure to \$1,161.11.

5. To avoid the cost, expense, and delay of litigation, Young is willing to make immediate payment of \$1,161.11 (the "Settlement Amount") in satisfaction of the Preference Claim, provided the Court enters a final, nonappealable order approving this Settlement Motion (the "Settlement Order").

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning set forth in the Preference Protocol Motion.

6. In the exercise of his sound business judgment, the Trustee has determined that a settlement of the Preference Claim in the Settlement Amount is in the best interests of the Debtor's estate and its creditors.

The Settlement

7. The Trustee requests that the Court approve the compromise and settlement of claims between the Trustee and Young pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Trustee is seeking court approval of the Settlement Agreement because the Settlement Amount is less than the sum of the Estimated Net Exposure as approved by the Preference Protocol Order.

Basis for Relief

8. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a), this Court has authority to approve a compromise or settlement after notice and opportunity for a hearing. Under Bankruptcy Rule 9019, a bankruptcy court should approve a proposed compromise if it is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the estate. *Protective Comm. for Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson*, 390 U.S. 414, 424 (1968); *In re Doctors Hosp. of Hyde Park, Inc.*, 474 F.3d 421, 426 (7th Cir. 2007). The Seventh Circuit has offered the following guidance to courts in making such determinations:

The linchpin of the “best interests of the estate” test is a comparison of the value of the settlement with the probable costs and benefits of litigating. Among the factors the court considers are the litigation's probability of success, complexity, expense, inconvenience, and delay, “including the possibility that disapproving the settlement will cause wasting of assets.”

In re Doctors Hosp. of Hyde Park, Inc., 474 F.3d at 426 (citations omitted).

9. Although the Trustee believes there is legal and factual support for the Preference Claim, settlement avoids the costs, expense, delay, and uncertainties of litigation. Litigation creates additional costs and expenses for the Debtor's estate and will thereby further deplete the estate. When evaluating the proposed settlement, the Trustee considered (i) the expenses the Debtor's estate would incur in litigating the Preference Claim, (ii) the probability of success in prosecuting the Preference Claim in light of the asserted defenses, and (iii) the best interests of the Debtor's estate and its creditors.

10. Pursuant to the exercise of his sound business judgment, the Trustee believes that the compromise and settlement reflected in the proposed Settlement Agreement is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the estate.

11. Notice of this Settlement Motion will be provided to all creditors, the United States Trustee, all counsel of record, and any other entity that the Court may direct, as provided in Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 9019.

WHEREFORE, if no objections to this Settlement Motion are filed, the Parties request that the Court enter the Settlement Order approving the Settlement Agreement. A proposed form of order is attached as Exhibit B. If any objections to this Settlement Motion are filed, the parties request that this Settlement Motion and any timely filed objection be scheduled for hearing by the Court on the earliest date that is available and convenient to the Court. The Trustee requests that any notice of this motion include language that any objection to this Settlement Motion set forth with specificity any claim that the objecting party has to the Settlement Amount.

Respectfully submitted,

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP

By: /s/ Wendy W. Ponader

Counsel for James A. Knauer, Chapter 11 Trustee

James M. Carr (#3128-49)
Kevin M. Toner (#11343-49)
Terry E. Hall (#22041-49)
Dustin R. DeNeal (#27535-49)
300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, IN 46204-1782
Telephone: (317) 237-0300
Facsimile: (317) 237-1000
jim.carr@faegrebd.com
kevin.toner@faegrebd.com
terry.hall@faegrebd.com
dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com

Wendy W. Ponader (#14633-49)
600 East 96th Street, Suite 600
Indianapolis, IN 46240
Telephone: (317) 569-9600
Facsimile: (317) 569-4800
wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 13, 2012, a copy of the foregoing pleading was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties through the Court's Electronic Case Filing System. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

David L. Abt
davidabt@mwt.net

Mark A. Robinson
mrobinson@vhlaw.com

Randall D. LaTour
rdlatour@vorys.com

Daniel J. Donnellon
ddonnellon@ficlaw.com

John W. Ames
james@bgdlegal.com

Jeremy S Rogers
Jeremy.Rogers@dinslaw.com

Meredith R. Thomas
mthomas@daleeke.com

C. R. Bowles, Jr
cbowles@bgdlegal.com

Jeffrey R. Erler
jeffe@bellnunnally.com

John R. Carr, III
jrciii@acs-law.com

Stephen A. Weigand
sweigand@ficlaw.com

Robert Hughes Foree
robertforee@bellsouth.net

Ivana B. Shallcross
ishallcross@bgdlegal.com

William Robert Meyer, II
rmeyer@stites.com

John Hunt Lovell
john@lovell-law.net

Edward M King
tking@fbtlaw.com

Bret S. Clement
bclement@acs-law.com

John Frederick Massouh
john.massouh@sprouselaw.com

Kim Martin Lewis
kim.lewis@dinslaw.com

Deborah Caruso
dcaruso@daleeke.com

Allen Morris
amorris@stites.com

Charles R. Wharton
Charles.R.Warton@usdoj.gov

David L. LeBas
dlebas@namanhowell.com

Jessica E. Yates
jyates@swlaw.com

Laura Day Delcotto
ldelcotto@dlgfir.com

Ross A. Plourde
ross.plourde@mcafeetaft.com

Todd J. Johnston
tjohnston@mcjllp.com

Karen L. Lobring
lobring@msn.com

Elliott D. Levin
edl@rubin-levin.net

Sean T. White
swhite@hooverhull.com

Michael W. McClain
mike@kentuckytrial.com

James Edwin McGhee
mcghee@derbycitylaw.com

Jerald I. Ancel
jancel@taftlaw.com

David Alan Domina
dad@dominalaw.com

Jill Zengler Julian
Jill.Julian@usdoj.gov

Michael Wayne Oyler
moyler@rwsvlaw.com

James E. Rossow
jim@rubin-levin.net

Steven A. Brehm
sbrehm@bgdlegal.com

James M. Carr
james.carr@faegrebd.com

Shawna M. Eikenberry
shawna.eikenberry@faegrebd.com

James A. Knauer
jak@kgirlaw.com

Christie A. Moore
cm@gdm.com

Peter M. Gannott
pgannott@gannottlaw.com

Joseph H. Rogers
jrogers@millerdollarhide.com

Andrew D. Stosberg
astosberg@lloydmc.com

James Bryan Johnston
bjtexas59@hotmail.com

Judy Hamilton Morse
judy.morse@crowedunlevy.com

John Huffaker
john.huffaker@sprouselaw.com

Kelly Greene McConnell
lisahughes@givenspursley.com

Walter Scott Newbern
wsnewbern@msn.com

Timothy T. Pridmore
tpridmore@mcjllp.com

Sandra D. Freeburger
sfreeburger@dsf-atty.com

John M. Rogers
johnr@rubin-levin.net

Jay P. Kennedy
jpk@kgirlaw.com

William E Smith
wsmith@k-glaw.com

Thomas C Scherer
tscherer@bgdlegal.com

Jeffrey J. Graham
jgraham@taftlaw.com

Kent A Britt
kabritt@vorys.com

Jeffrey L Hunter
jeff.hunter@usdoj.gov

Jason W. Cottrell
jwc@stuartlaw.com

James B. Lind
jblind@vorys.com

Anthony G. Raluy
traluy@fbhlaw.net

Jack S. Dawson
jdawson@millerdollarhide.com

Terry E. Hall
terry.hall@faegrebd.com

Erick P. Knoblock
eknoblock@daleeke.com

Shiv Ghuman O'Neill
shiv.oneill@faegrebd.com

Eric C. Redman
ksmith@redmanludwig.com

James E. Smith
jsmith@smithakins.com

Kevin M. Toner
kevin.toner@faegrebd.com

James T. Young
james@rubin-levin.net

John M. Thompson
john.thompson@crowedunlevy.com

Matthew J. Ochs
kim.maynes@moyewhite.com

T. Kent Barber
kbarber@dlgfir.com

Kirk Crutcher
kcrutcher@mcs-law.com

Theodore A Konstantinopoulos
ndohbky@jbandr.com

Lisa Koch Bryant
courtmail@fbhlaw.net

John David Hoover
jdhoover@hooverhull.com

John R. Burns
john.burns@faegrebd.com

Kayla D. Britton
kayla.britton@faegrebd.com

David A. Laird
david.laird@moyewhite.com

Trevor L. Earl
tearl@rwsvlaw.com

Joshua N. Stine
kabritt@vorys.com

Amelia Martin Adams
aadams@dlgfir.com

Robert A. Bell
rabell@vorys.com

Melissa S. Giberson
msgiberson@vorys.com

U.S. Trustee
ustpreion10.in.ecf@usdoj.gov

Dustin R. DeNeal
dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com

Jay Jaffe
jay.jaffe@faegrebd.com

Harmony A. Mappes
harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com

Wendy W. Ponader
wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com

Joe T. Roberts
jratty@windstream.net

Robert K. Stanley
robert.stanley@faegrebd.com

Andrea L. Wasson
andrea@wassonthornhill.com

Christopher M. Trapp
ctrapp@rubin-levin.net

Eric W. Richardson
ewrichardson@vorys.com

Joshua Elliott Clubb
joshclubb@gmail.com

Jennifer Watt
jwatt@kgirlaw.com

I further certify that on December 13, 2012, a copy of the foregoing pleading was served via electronic mail transmission on the following:

Ashley S. Rusher
asr@blancolaw.com

Darla J. Gabbitas
darla.gabbitas@moyewwhite.com

/s/ Wendy W. Ponader